This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713617200

Synthesis of α -D-Glucopyranosyl-(1-3)- α -D-Mannopyranosyl-(1-7)-4-Methylumbelliferone, A Fluorogenic Substrate for Endo- α -1,2-Mannosidase

C. Vogel; G. Pohlentz

To cite this Article Vogel, C. and Pohlentz, G.(2000) 'Synthesis of α -D-Glucopyranosyl-(1-3)- α -D-Mannopyranosyl-(1-7)-4-Methylumbelliferone, A Fluorogenic Substrate for Endo- α -1,2-Mannosidase', Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 19: 9, 1247 – 1258

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328300008544148 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328300008544148

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

SYNTHESIS OF α-D-GLUCOPYRANOSYL-(1-3)-α-D-MANNOPYRANOSYL-(1-7)-4-METHYLUMBELLIFERONE, A FLUOROGENIC SUBSTRATE FOR ENDO-α-1,2-MANNOSIDASE

C. Vogel and G. Pohlentz*

Institut für Physiologische Chemie, Universität Bonn, Nussallee 11, D-53115 Bonn, Germany

Received February 29, 2000 - Final Form September 7, 2000

ABSTRACT

 α -D-Glucopyranosyl-(1-3)- α -D-mannopyranosyl-(1-7)-4-methylumbelliferone (Glc-Man-Muf) was synthesized as a potential fluorogenic substrate for endo- α -1,2-mannosidase. The synthesis was designed in a convergent way. The glucose donor ethyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-benzyl-1-thio- β -glucopyranoside and the mannose acceptor 1,2:4,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene- β -D-mannopyranose were coupled in the presence of *N*-iodosuccinimide and trifluoromethane-sulfonic acid to yield the corresponding disaccharide derivative. After conversion into peracetylated α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1-3)- α -D-mannopyranose the disaccharide was attached to 4-methylumbelliferone using the Helferich method. After separation of the desired isomer, deacetylation yielded the title compound. Glc-Man-Muf was used as a substrate in endomannosidase assays with rat liver Golgi preparations as an enzyme source (in the presence of the α -glucosidase inhibitor deoxynojirimycin). The degradation of Glc-Man-Muf was linear with protein up to 300 µg and with time up to 2 h. V_{max} and K_m were determined to be 0.17 nmol/mg x h and 3.7 mM, respectively.

INTRODUCTION

Endo- α -1,2-mannosidase is involved in the processing of *N*-linked oligosaccharides. The enzyme cleaves Glc_{1.3}Man from previously glucosylated oligosaccharides, thereby providing a potential bypass on the way to complex N-linked carbohydrates, e.g., in glucosidase deficient cells.^{1,2}

Determination of endomannosidase activities *in vitro* has been performed using [¹⁴C]Glc_{1.3}-Man₉GlcNAc_{1.2} oligosaccharides as substrates that were prepared either by metabolic labelling or by incubating microsome preparations with radioactively labelled sugar nucleotides (e.g., UDP-[¹⁴C]Glc).^{1,2} These substrates have three major disadvantages (if the use of radioactivity is not considered as a disadvantage):

- first, the preparation of the labelled oligosaccharides is rather complicated and timeconsuming,
- second, only "radioactive" amounts can be obtained, i.e., an exact quantification of
- the material obtained is impossible,



	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R_4	R₅
(1)	Ac	Ac	Ac	Ac	Ac
(2)	Br (-O)	Ac	Ac	Ac	Ac
(3)	=C(CH ₃)-OMe		Ac	Ac	Ac
(4)	=C(CH ₃)-OMe		Н	Н	Н
(5)	=C(CH ₃)-OMe		Bn	Bn	Bn
(6)	Ac	Ac	Bn	Ac	Ac
(7)	н	н	Bn	н	Н
(8)	=CMe2		Bn	=CMe ₂	
(9)	=CMe₂		Н	=CMe ₂	



Scheme 2

third, the specific radioactivity of the oligosaccharides varies from preparation to preparation, i.e., the results obtained from different "batches" are not comparable.

Consequently endomannosidase units had to be defined as "the amount of enzyme that releases 1,000 dpm per h" as no absolute figures for enzyme activities and kinetic constants (V_{max} and K_m) could be obtained.

For a more convenient non-radioactive assay we decided to synthesize α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1-3)- α -D-mannopyranosyl-(1-7)-4-methylumbelliferone (Glc-Man-Muf) 20 as a potential fluorogenic substrate for endo- α -1,2-mannosidase.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Glc-Man-Muf. The synthesis of Glc-Man-Muf was designed in a convergent way and the structure of every intermediate compound was verified by fast atom bombardment mass spectrometry and/or ¹H NMR. The glucose donor ethyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-1-thio- β -glucopyranoside 13 (Scheme 2) and the mannose acceptor 1,2:4,6-di-O-isopropylidene- β -D-mannopyranose 9 (Scheme 1) were produced separately and after

coupling the resulting disaccharide was converted to its octaacetyl derivative **18** (Scheme 3). The 4-methylumbelliferone was attached using the Helferich method and, after separation of the desired isomer, deacetylation yielded the title compound **20**.

Synthesis of 1,2:4,6-di-*O***-isopropylidene-***B***-D-mannopyranose (9)**. The synthesis of a mannose derivative with a free OH group at position 3 was not trivial. A direct synthesis of (9) from mannose was not feasible. Using different acetonation procedures either 2,3:5,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-D-mannofuranose or 2,3:4,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-D-mannopyranose were obtained.³⁻⁶ Therefore, we had to prepare the 3-*O*-protected derivative **7** prior to acetonation.

Starting with peracetylated mannose 1^7 the acetylated methyl orthoester 3 was prepared from the bromide 2^8 in a yield of 95 %.⁹ Via deacetylation (4, 99 %)¹⁰ and benzylation the corresponding 3,4,6-tri-*O*-benzyl derivative 5 was obtained in 58 %.¹¹ Following was a sequential replacement of the 1,2-methoxyethylidene moiety and the benzyl residues by acetyl groups.¹¹ Compound 5 was reacted with a mixture of glacial acetic acid and acetic anhydride in the presence of sulfuric acid. The reaction conditions had to be optimized to achieve an excess of 6 over 1,2,6-tri-*O*-acetyl-3,4-di-*O*-benzyl- α -D-mannose and 1. In our hands 2 h at 0 °C followed by 16 h at room temperature led to more than 80% of the desired compound 6. Deacetylation (7, 68 %)¹⁰ and subsequent reaction with 2,2dimethoxypropane led to 8 (54 %)⁵ from which 9 was obtained by catalytic hydrogenation in 32 % yield.¹¹

Synthesis of ethyl 2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-1-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside (13). The synthesis of the glucose donor 13 was achieved in three steps starting from peracetylated β -D-glucose 10. Compound 10 was thioethylated in the presence of TiCl₄ yielding 11 in a yield of 24 %.¹² Subsequent deacetylation (12, 100 %)¹⁰ and benzylation led to 13 in 34 %.

Synthesis of α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1-3)- α -D-mannopyranosyl-(1-7)-4-methylumbelliferone (Glc-Man-Muf) (20). Compounds 9 and 13 were coupled to 14 by a reaction with *N*-iodosuccinimide in the presence of trifluoromethylsulfonic acid.¹³ The ¹H NMR data (δ 4.98 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=3.7 Hz, H-1, α -Glc, 82 %) and (δ 4.57 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=7.5 Hz, H-1, β -Glc, 18 %)) showed that under the conditions used the reaction showed an anomeric specificity of more than 80 % in a total yield of 79 %. The 1,2,4,6,2',3',4',6'-octa-*O*-acetyl disaccharide 18 was obtained from 14 by cleavage of the isopropylidene moieties¹⁴ (15, 100 %),





acetylation of the mannose residue (16, 100 %), debenzylation (catalytic hydrogenation, 17, 59 %) and subsequent acetylation of the glucose (18, 56 %).

Separation of the β -glucosyl derivatives of 14, 15, 16, and 17 could only be achieved with a strong decrease in yield. For example, purification of 16 (α -Glc) by chromatography on silica gel 60 (in a separate experiment) gave only 59 % product instead of 80 %. Therefore 15, 16, and 17 were used for the respective following reactions as crude products without further purification and only aliquots were purified for analysis. With the formation of 18, the β -glucosyl derivative could be easily removed by recrystallization from methanol, and the isomers were obtained almost in the ratio predicted from the NMR data of 14 (see below).

Coupling of the disaccharide to 4-methylumbelliferone (Muf) turned out to be difficult. Neither the Koenigs-Knorr method via the heptaacetylated bromide nor the trichloroacetimidate procedure yielded considerable amounts of 19. Therefore, we tried to attach 18 directly to Muf using the Helferich method.¹⁵ The reaction was performed in a screw-capped vial. 18, Muf, and ZnCl₂ were suspended in toluene and heated for 2 days at 150 °C. (If necessary additional ZnCl₂ was applied to the mixture and heating was prolonged for 2 days.) In order to eventually replace cleaved acetyl groups, the toluene was evaporated and the residue was treated with pyridine and acetic anhydride. Despite the rather extreme reaction conditions 19 was obtained in a yield of 75%. Deacetylation of 19 finally led to the title compound 20 in a yield of 75 %.

Glc-Man-Muf as Substrate for Endo- α -1,2-Mannosidase. Since endomannosidase has been reported to be Golgi-residing² we choose rat liver Golgi that is routinely prepared in our laboratory^{eg 16} as an enzyme source. Golgi vesicles were incubated with 20 in the presence of Triton X-100 and the α -glucosidase inhibitor deoxynojirimycin as described in the EXPERIMENTAL section and the amount of Muf was determined by fluorescence measurement.

Endomannosidase activity is linear with the protein amount up to 300 μ g (not shown) and with time up to 2 h (Fig. 1a). From the enzyme kinetics the apparent V_{max} and K_m values was determined to 0.17 nmol/(mg·h) and 3.7 mM, respectively, for the Golgi preparation used (Lineweaver-Burk plot see Fig. 1b). These results demonstrate that **20** is indeed a suitable fluorogenic substrate for the endo- α -1,2-mannosidase.





a) Glc-Man-Muf (1 mM) was incubated with 100 μ g (\bullet) and 250 μ g (\blacksquare) Golgi protein for the indicated time periods as described in the text.

b) 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1,5, and 2.0 mM Muf was incubated with 250 μ g Golgi protein for the 2 h as described in the text. The Lineweaver-Burk plot was calculated from the 1/V and 1/S values by linear regression (K_r > 0.995).

EXPERIMENTAL

General Methods. Melting points were determined on a Kofler-Weygand melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 500 MHz with a Bruker AMX-500 spectrometer. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on precoated plates of silica gel (Merck). Silica gel 60 (400-630 and 630-200 mesh, Merck) was used for column chromatography. All solvents and reagents were purified and dried before use.

FAB Mass Spectrometry. The FAB mass spectra were recorded on a VG analytical ZAB-HF reverse geometry mass spectrometer (V.G. Analytical, Manchester, U.K.). For atom bombardment xenon was used and the applied acceleration voltage was 7 kV. 1-Mercapto-2,3-propanediol (thioglycerol, Tgl) was used as matrix and 1 μ L of a homogeneous sample solution containing 1 nmol/ μ L in chloroform/methanol (1:1, v/v) was added. The spectra were run in a mass range from 50 to 1200 atom mass units (amu) with a scan rate of 10 sec per decade and positive ions (FAB(+)) were detected. The spectra were recorded and evaluated on a SAM II/68 K computer (KWS, Ettlingen, FRG) using the DP10 program of AMD (Harpstedt, FRG).

2,3,4,6-Tetra-*O*-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl-(1-3)-1,2:4,6-di-*O*-isopropylidene-β-D-mannopyranose (14). 9 (1 g, 3.85 mmol), 13 (1.7 g, 2.93 mmol),. *N*-iodosuccinimide (1.7 g, 7.56 mmol) and 1.72 g 4 Å molecular sieves were dried for two days over P_2O_5 . The mixture was suspended under an argon atmosphere in dry dichloromethane (45 mL) and trifluoromethylsulfonic acid (686 µL) dissolved in dry dichloromethane (52 mL) were dropped at 0 °C. After stirring for 30 min at 20 °C the suspension was neutralized with saturated sodium hydrogencarbonate and the iodine was removed by washing with aqueous sodium thiosulfate. The organic phase was concentrated *in vacuo*. Chromatography on silica gel 60 (light petroleum/ethyl acetate 4:1 (v/v)) yielded a syrup containing 80 % of 14 (1.78 g, 79 %; in relation to the glucosyl donor).¹³ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.37-7.22 (m, 20H, aromat.), 5.32 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=2.0 Hz, H-1'_(Glco)), 5.27 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=2.0 Hz, H-1'_(Glcβ)), 4.98 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=3.7 Hz, H-1, α-Glc), 4.57 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=7.5 Hz, H-1, β-Glc). The remaining signals could not be assigned. FAB MS: *m/z* 805 (M+Na⁺), 181, 91.

2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl-(1-3)-α-D-mannopyranose (15). 14 (1.78 g, 2.3 mmol) was heated in 60% acetic acid (54 mL) for 2 h at 100 °C. After concentrating the solution *in vacuo* 15 was obtained as a syrup and was used without further purification (1.61 g, 100 %).¹⁴ FAB MS: *m/z* 725 (M+Na⁺), 635, 523, 415, 325, 307, 181, 91.

2,3,4,6-Tetra-*O*-benzyI-α-D-glucopyranosyI-(1-3)-1,2,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyI-α-Dmannopyranose (16). 15 (1.61 g, 2.3 mmol) was dissolved in 8 mL of pyridine/acetic anhydride 1:1 (v/v) and the mixture was stirred for 16 h at 20 °C. The solution was concentrated *in vacuo* and residual pyridine was removed by azeotropic distillation with toluene. 16, a syrup (2 g, 100 %), was immediately used for the next step. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 7.36-7.10 (m, 20H, aromat.), 6.04 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=2.0 Hz, H-1'), 5.42 (dd, 1H, J_{2,1}=3.5 Hz, J_{2,3}=10.0 Hz, H-2), 5.28 (t≈dd, 1H, J $_{3,\overline{2}}$ =10.0 Hz, J $_{\overline{5,4}}$ 10.0 Hz, H-3*), 5.27 (t≈dd, 1H, J_{4,3}=10.0 Hz, J_{4,5}=10.0 Hz, H-4*), 5.15 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=3.5 Hz, H-1), 4.98 (d, 1H, J=11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.88 (d, 1H, J=11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.87 (d, 1H, J=11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.83 (d, 1H, J=11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.80 (d, 1H, J=11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.79 (d, 1H, J=12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.64 (d, 1H, J=11.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.59 (d, 1H, J=12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.44 (dd, 1H, J_{6a,6b}=12.0 Hz, J_{6a,5}=2.0 Hz, H-6a), 4.23 (ddd, 1H, J_{5,4}=10.0 Hz, J_{5,6a}=2.0 Hz, J_{5,6b}=5.0 Hz, H-5), 4.12 (dd, 1H, J_{6b,6a}=12.0 Hz, J_{6b,5}=5.0 Hz, H-6b), 2.01-2.05 (4 x s, 4 x 3H, CH₃-C=O). The remaining signals could not be assigned; *exchangeable. FAB MS: *m/z* 893 (M+Na⁺), 811, 523, 415, 331, 307, 181, 169, 91.

 α -D-Glucopyranosyl-(1-3)-1,2,4,6-tetra-O-acctyl- α -D-mannopyranose (17). 16 (2 g, 2.3 mmol) was dissolved in 120 mL methanol/ethyl acetate 2:1 (v/v) and 5 % palladium on charcoal (3 g) was added. The mixture was stirred under hydrogen for 16 h at 20 °C. After removal of the catalyst the solution was concentrated *in vacuo* and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel 60 (toluene/ethanol 4:1 (v/v)). 17 was obtained as syrup (580 mg, 49 %). Rechromatography of the product containing side fractions yielded an additional 121 mg of 17 (overall yield 59.8 %) FAB MS: m/z 533 (M+Na⁺), 451, 371, 331, 289, 229, 169.

2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-acetyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1-3)-1,2,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl- α -Dmannopyranose (18). 17 (580 mg, 1.14 mmol) was dissolved in 8 mL of pyridine/acetic anhydride 1:1 (v/v) and the mixture was stirred for 16 h at 20 °C. The solution was concentrated *in vacuo* and the crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel 60 (toluene/acetone 10:1 (v/v)). 18 was recrystallized from methanol (431 mg, 55.8 %), mp 129 °C. (From the mother liquor 120.9 mg of the corresponding β -glucopyranosyl derivative (18b) could be isolated, over all yield 71.4%.) 18: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, COSY) δ 6.09 (d, 1H,

 $J_{12}=2.0$ Hz, H-1'), 5.35 (t~dd, 1H, $J_{43}=10.0$ Hz, $J_{45}=10.0$ Hz, H-4'), 5.34 (t~dd, 1H, J_{3,2}=10.5 Hz, J_{3,4}=10.0 Hz, H-3), 5.29 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=4.0 Hz, H-1), 5.24 (dd, 1H, J_{2,1}=2.0 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =4.0 Hz, H-2'), 5.04 (t add, 1H, $J_{4,3}$ =10.0 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ =10.0 Hz, H-4), 4.76 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,1}$ =4.0 Hz, J_{2,3}=10.5 Hz, H-2), 4.26 (dd, 1H, J_{6a.6b}=12.5 Hz, J_{6a.5}=4.5 Hz, H-6a'), 4.24 (dd, 1H, J₃₂=4.0 Hz, J₃₄=10.0 Hz, H-3'), 4.19 (dd, 1H, J_{6a 6b}=12.5 Hz, J_{6a 5}=5.0 Hz, H-6a), 4.12 (ddd, 1H, J_{5,4}=10.0 Hz, J_{5,6a}=4.5 Hz, J_{5,6b}=2.0 Hz, H-5'), 4.07 (dd, 1H, J_{6b,6a}=12.5 Hz, J_{6b,5}=2.0 Hz, H-6b'), 4.06 (dd, 1H, J_{6b 6a}=12.5 Hz, J_{6b 5}=2.5 Hz, H-6b), 3.91 (ddd, 1H, J₅₄=10.0 Hz, J_{5,6a}=5.0 Hz, J_{5,6b}=2.5 Hz, H-5), 2.20, 2.19, 2.18, 2.10, 2.09, 2.03, 2.02, 2.01 (each s, each 3H, CH₃-C=O). FAB MS: m/z 701 (M+Na⁺), 619, 331, 169. 18b: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, COSY): δ 6.05 (d, 1H, J₁₂=2.2 Hz, H-1'), 5.27 (t≈dd, 1H, J₄₃=9.8 Hz, J₄₅=10.0 Hz, H-4'), 5.19 (dd, 1H, J₂₁=2.2 Hz, J₂₃=3.4 Hz, H-2'), 5.17 (t≈dd, 1H, J₁₃=9.2 Hz, J₁₄=9.4 Hz, H-3), 5.09 (t≈dd, 1H, J₄₃=9.4 Hz, J₄₅=9.9 Hz, H-4), 4.87 (dd, 1H, J₂₁=7.7 Hz, J₂₃=9.2 Hz, H-2), 4.62 (d, 1H, J_{12} =7.7 Hz, H-1), 4.36 (dd, 1H, J_{6a6b} =12.4 Hz, J_{6a5} =4.1 Hz, H-6a), 4.24 (dd, 1H, $J_{6a.6b}$ =12.4 Hz, $J_{6a.5}$ =5.2 Hz, H-6a'), 4.13 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,2}$ =3.4 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.8 Hz, H-3'), 4.11 (dd, 1H, J_{6b,6a}=12.4 Hz, J_{6b,5}=2.5 Hz, H-6b'), 4.09 (dd, 1H, J_{6b,6a}=12.4 Hz, J_{6b,5}=2.6 Hz, H-6b), 3.98 (ddd, 1H, J₅₄=10.0 Hz, J_{56a}=5.2 Hz, J_{56b}=2.5 Hz, H-5'), 3.73 (ddd, 1H, J₅₄=9.9 Hz, J_{5.6a}=4.1 Hz, J_{5.6b}=2.6 Hz, H-5), 2.17, 2.13, 2.09, 2.08, 2.06, 2.02, 2.01, 1.98 (each s, each 3H, CH₃-C=O). FAB MS: *m*/*z* 701 (M+Na⁺), 619, 331, 169.

2,3,4,6-Tetra-*O*-acetyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl-(1-3)-2,4,6-tri-*O*-acetyl- α -D-mannopyranosyl-(1-7)-4-methylumbelliferone (19). 18 (143.3 mg, 211.4 µmol) and 4-methylumbelliferone (148.7 mg, 844 µmol) were dried over P₂O₅ and suspended in 5 mL of dry toluene under argon in a screw-capped vial. Anhydrous zinc chloride (15 mg) was added and the mixture was heated for 2 days at 150 °C. After addition of more zinc chloride (15 mg) heating was prolonged for 2 more days. The solvent was evaporated under a stream of nitrogen and 20 mL of pyridine/acetic anhydride 1:1 (v/v) were added to the residue. The mixture was stirred for 16 h at 20 °C. The solvents were removed under a stream of nitrogen and the crude product was purified by chromatography on silica gel 60 (toluene/acetone 8:1 (v/v)) to give 19 as a syrup (130 mg, 75 %). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, COSY) δ 7.53 (d, 1H, J_{5,6}=8.8 Hz, H-5"), 7.08 (d, 1H, J_{8,6}=2.4 Hz, H-8"), 6.99 (dd, 1H, J_{6,5}=8.8 Hz, J_{6,8}=2.4 Hz, H-6"), 6.20 (s≈d, 1H, J_{3,CH3}=1.3 Hz, H-3"), 5.59 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=1.9 Hz, J_{2,3}=3.7 Hz, H-2'), 5.38 (t≈dd, 1H, J_{4,3}=9.9 Hz, J_{4,5}=10.1 Hz, H-4'), 5.37 (dd, 1H, J_{3,2}=10.2 Hz, J_{3,4}=9.6 Hz, H-3), 5.33 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=3.9 Hz, H-1), 5.03 (t≈dd, 1H, J_{4,3}=9.6 Hz,

 $J_{4,5}$ =10.2 Hz, H-4), 4.78 (dd, 1H, $J_{2,1}$ =3.9 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ =10.3 Hz, H-2), 4.42 (dd, 1H, $J_{3,2}$ =3.7 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ =9.9 Hz, H-3'), 4.27 (dd, 1H, $J_{6a,6b}$ =12.2 Hz, $J_{6a,5}$ =5.0 Hz, H-6a), 4.18 (dd, 1H, $J_{6a,6b}$ =12.2 Hz, $J_{6a,5}$ =5.0 Hz, $J_{5,6b}$ =2.3 Hz, H-5), 4.11 (dd, 1H, $J_{6b,6a}$ =12.2 Hz, $J_{6b,5}$ =2.3 Hz, H-5), 4.11 (dd, 1H, $J_{6b,6a}$ =12.2 Hz, $J_{6b,5}$ =2.3 Hz, H-5), 4.11 (dd, 1H, $J_{6b,6a}$ =12.2 Hz, $J_{6b,5}$ =2.3 Hz, H-6b), 4.00 (dd, 1H, $J_{6b,6a}$ =12.2 Hz, $J_{6b,5}$ =2.5 Hz, H-6b'), 3.91 (ddd, 1H, $J_{5,4}$ =10.1 Hz, $J_{5,6a}$ =5.7 Hz, J_{-5} , 2.41 (d, 3H, $J_{CH3,3}$ =1.3 Hz, Muf-CH₃), 2.29, 2.09, 2.05, 2.02, 2.01, 2.04 (each s, each 3H, CH₃-C=O), 2.06 (s, 6H, 2 x CH₃-C=O).¹³C NMR (CDCl₃) δ 170.67, 170.60, 170.58, 170.53, 169.85, 169.77, and 169.74 (CH₃-C=O) 160.76 (C-2"), 158.01, 154.97, 152.08, and 115.67 (C-4", C-7", C-9", C-10"), 125.91 (C-5"), 113.48 (C-3"), 113.33 (C-6"), 104.61 (C-8"), 96.77 (C-1), 95.91 (C-1'), 72.36 (C-3'), 70.90 (C-2), 70.21 (C-2'), 69.65 (C-5'), 69.22 (C-3), 68.44 (C-5), 68.23 (C-4), 67.87 (C-4'), 62.11 (C-6'), 62.04 (C-6), 20.93, 20.87, 20.78, 20.74, 20.71, and 20.69 (CH₃-C=O) 18.75 (Muf-CH₃). FAB MS: *m/z* 795 (M+H⁺), 619, 331, 169.

a-D-Glucopyranosyl-(1-3)-a-D-mannopyranosyl-(1-7)-4-methylumbelliferone (20). 19 (100 mg, 125.9 µmol) was stirred in 10 mL 0.01 M solution of sodium methanolate in methanol for 18 h at 20 °C. The mixture was concentrated under a stream of nitrogen and the product was purified by chromatography on silica gel 60 (chloroform/ methanol/water 80:20:2 (v/v/v)). 20 was obtained as a syrup (47.5 mg, 75.6 %). ¹H NMR (D₂O/CD₃OD, COSY) δ 7.70 (d, 1H, J₅₆=8.5 Hz, H-5"), 7.13 (dd, 1H, J₆₅=8.5 Hz, J₆₈=2.2 Hz, H-6"), 7.12 (d, 1H, J_{8,6}=2.2 Hz, H-8"), 6.21 (s≈d, 1H, J_{3,CH3}=1.2 Hz, H-3"), 5.64 (d, 1H, J_{1,2}=2.0 Hz, H-1'), 5.26 (d, 1H, J₁,=4.0 Hz, H-1), 4.33 (t add, 1H, J₂,=2.0 Hz, J₂,=3.4 Hz, H-2'), 4.09 (dd, 1H, J₃₂=3.4 Hz, J₃₄=9.8 Hz, H-3'), 3.97 (t°dd, 1H, J₄₃=9.8 Hz, J₄₅=9.8 Hz, H-4'), 3.87 (m, 2H, not resolved, H-5, H-6a*), 3.78 (dd, 1H, J₁₂=10.0 Hz, J₁₄=9.3 Hz, H-3), 3.72 - 3.77 (m, 3H, not resolved, H-6b*, H-6a'*, H-6b'*), 3.62 (dt=ddd, 1H, J₅₄=9.8 Hz, J_{56a}=4.2 Hz, J_{5.66}=4.2 Hz, H-5'), 3.54 (dd, 1H, J_{2.1}=4.0 Hz, J_{2.3}=10.0 Hz, H-2), 3.38 (t≈dd, 1H, J_{4.3}=9.3 Hz, J₄₅=9.7 Hz, H-4), 2.42 (s, 3H, Muf-CH₃); *exchangeable. ¹³C NMR (D₂O/CD₃OD) δ 165.83 (C-2"), 160.89, 157.88, 156.08, and 116.84 (C-4", C-7", C-9", C-10"), 128.44 (C-5"), 116.15 (C-6"), 113.07 (C-3"), 105.64 (C-8"), 102.68 (C-1), 100.01 (C-1'), 80.77 (C-3'), 75.92 (C-5'), 75.10 (C-3), 74.50 (C-5), 74.06 (C-2), 71.85 (C-4), 71.55 (C-2'), 67.55 (C-4'), 62.77 and 62.57 (C-6, C-6'), 19.75 (Muf-CH₃). FAB MS: m/z 501 (M+H⁺), 339, 177.

Assay for Endo- α -mannosidase. The endomannosidase assay contained in a total volume of 100 µL up to 2.5 mM 20, 0.1% (w/v) Triton X-100, 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7), 2 mM deoxynojirimycin and up to 300 µg Golgi protein. The mixture was incubated

for up to 2 h at 37 °C and the reaction was stopped by adding 900 μ L of a solution of 0.2 M sodium carbonate and 0.2 M glycine. The liberated Muf was quantified by determination of its fluorescence ($\lambda_{excitation}$ = 365 nm, $\lambda_{emission}$ = 455 nm).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 284/A5).

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Bause and M. Burbach, Biol. Chem., 377, 639 (1996).
- 2. S. Hiraizumi, U. Spohr and R. G. Spiro, J. Biol. Chem., 269, 4697 (1994).
- 3. A. N. de Belder, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem., 20, 219 (1965).
- 4. A. N. de Belder, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem., 34, 179 (1979).
- 5. A. Hasegawa and H. G. Fletcher, Jr., Carbohydr. Res., 29, 209 (1973).
- 6. J. Gelas and D. Horton, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 67, 371 (1978).
- 7. P. J. Stoffyn and R. W. Jeanloz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 5690 (1958).
- 8. E. Fischer, Ber., 44, 1889 (1911).
- 9. N. E. Franks and R. Montgomery, Carbohydr. Res., 6, 286 (1968).
- 10. G. Zemplén and E. Pacsu, Ber., 62, 1613 (1929).
- 11. M. M. Ponpipomm, Carbohydr. Res., 59, 311 (1977).
- 12. H. Lönn, Carbohydr. Res., 139, 105 (1985).
- G. H. Veenemann, S. H. van Leeuwen and J. H. van Boom, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 31, 1331 (1990).
- 14. P. A. Gent and R. Gigg, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1446 (1974).
- 15. B. Helferich and E. Schmitz-Hillebrecht, Ber., 66, 378 (1933).
- 16. G. Pohlentz, S. Schlemm and H. Egge, Eur. J. Biochem., 203, 387 (1992).